

	FAIL	PASS	MERIT	DISTINCTION
Objectives and Rationale for clinical case description and neuroanatomical localisation	<p>Very limited amount of information</p> <p>Extremely limited evidence of understanding of the clinical signs to neurolocalise</p> <p>In general, incorrect or not detailed neuroanatomical localisation</p> <p>Important omissions and/or significant errors</p>	<p>Superficial coverage of clinical signs, minimal important omissions and/or significant errors</p> <p>Some disorganisation in structure, lack of organisation, and deficiencies in clarity of expression when presenting the clinical case</p> <p>In general correct neuroanatomical localisation</p>	<p>Good coverage of clinical signs and clear evidence of understanding the neuroanatomical localisation</p> <p>Correct and detailed neuroanatomical localisation based on the clinical signs presented</p> <p>No significant omissions or errors</p> <p>Logical and organised structure with clarity of expression on presenting clinical signs</p>	<p>Exceptional depth of coverage and synthesis on clinical signs with no identifiable errors or omissions.</p> <p>Correct and detailed neuroanatomical localisation based on the clinical signs</p> <p>Well organized and easy to follow</p>
Objectives and Rationale for diagnostic plan, results and diagnosis	<p>Very limited amount of information</p> <p>Extremely limited evidence of understanding of the diagnostic plan, interpreting results and/or diagnosis</p> <p>Incorrect or not detailed explanation of the diagnostic tests and results. Plenty significant errors on terminology or omissions</p>	<p>Superficial coverage with minimal powers of synthesis</p> <p>Minimal significant omissions or error</p> <p>Some disorganisation in structure, and deficiencies in clarity of expression when presenting diagnostic plan and results</p> <p>In general correct diagnosis, treatment and plan based on the case presented and current literature</p>	<p>Good coverage and clear evidence of critical judgement for diagnostic plan, results and diagnosis</p> <p>Good powers of synthesis</p> <p>No significant omissions or errors</p> <p>Logical and organised structure with clarity of expression on presenting the results</p>	<p>Exceptional depth of coverage and powers of synthesis on diagnostic plan, results and diagnosis with no identifiable errors or omissions</p> <p>Exceptionally well organized and easy to follow</p>
Objectives and Rationale for treatment and outcome	<p>Extremely limited evidence of understanding of the indicated treatment based on current literature</p> <p>Very limited amount of information on treatment</p> <p>Incorrect, not detailed or minimal outcome</p> <p>Limited to none number of cases with histopathological diagnosis</p>	<p>Superficial but correct coverage of treatment</p> <p>Minimal important omissions and/or significant errors</p> <p>In general good follow up of the cases</p> <p>Few cases with histopathological diagnosis</p> <p>Some disorganisation in structure</p>	<p>Good coverage of treatment and outcome with clear evidence of critical judgement based on current literature.</p> <p>No significant omissions or errors</p> <p>Logical and organised structure with clarity of expression on presenting treatment</p> <p>Detailed outcome and good number of cases with histopathological diagnosis</p>	<p>Exceptional depth of coverage on treatment and outcome with no identifiable errors or omissions and clear evidence of critical judgement based on current literature</p> <p>Exceptional powers of synthesis and insight of the treatment and outcome</p> <p>Excellent number of cases with histopathological diagnosis</p>
Quality of presentation including variety of clinical cases organisation and clarity	<p>Case log lacks organization, clinical cases are difficult to follow</p> <p>Significant amount of spelling or grammatical errors</p> <p>Limited variety of clinical cases</p>	<p>Case log is generally easy to follow but has some unclear or disorganized parts</p> <p>Some errors of spelling or grammar</p> <p>Some variety of clinical cases</p>	<p>Case log is well organized and easy to follow</p> <p>Minimal errors of spelling or grammar</p> <p>Good variety of clinical cases</p>	<p>Case log is complete, exceptionally organized and easy to follow.</p> <p>Minimal errors of spelling or grammar</p> <p>Excellent variety of clinical cases</p>